



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,932	02/02/2000	Tamotsu Suzuki	81876.0002	6863
75	90 05/23/2002			
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
Biltmore Tower Suite 1900			TRAN, PABLO N	
500 South Gran	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, Ca	A 90071		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	0
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			h)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/496,932	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pablo N Tran	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Monthly that the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commandate of the commandate of t	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	_					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, ,					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p         application from the International     </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a l</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	).	age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
a) The translation of the foreign language						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/496,932

Art Unit: 2684

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koga et al. (5,970,390) in view of Koike (6,246,864).

As per claim 1, *Koga et al.* disclosed a frequency modulating (FM) transmitter (fig. 1/no. 40) comprising a reference frequency generator (where it is clear that a PLL inherently comprises a reference frequency generator, although not shown), a frequency divider for frequency dividing said reference frequency (see col. 3/ln. 42-43), a stereo modulation circuit (see fig. 1/no. 45) for frequency a right and a left audio signals (see output of no. 45) by one output of said reference frequency divider to supply the resultant signals stereo modulated signals as FM signals (see col. 3/ln. 42-43), an oscillator circuit for generating carrier waves to transmit said FM signals received from said stereo modulation circuit (see VCO section in no. 45, col. 3/ln. 39-42), a PLL frequency synthesizer (col. 3/ln. 38) which has a phase comparator (where it is clear that a PLL inherently comprises a phase comparator, although not shown).

More specifically, *Koga et al.* disclosed a PLL having frequency divider and VCO for

Application/ Control Humbe

Art Unit: 2684

providing oscillating signals for the modulation circuit to generate FM signal but fails to show the details of PLL having program counter/divider and phase comparator arrangement. However, it is common knowledge that a PLL should have a phase comparator to compare outputs of counter/divider to provide a control signal for controlling said oscillator circuit. As evidence by *Koike*, *Koike* disclosed a PLL with a phase comparator (see fig. 5/no. 26) for comparing outputs of the program counter (see fig. 5/no. 32) and the reference divider (see fig. 5/no. 12). Since, both references disclosed FM transmitter employing PLL; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply such PLL arrangement with phase comparator that compared outputs of the program counter and the reference divider of *Koike* to the PLL arrangement of *Koga et al.* to provide accurate oscillation signals.

As per claim 3, *Koga et al.* do not explicitly disclose the frequency of said reference frequency generator is set to either one of 7.6MHZ, an integral multiple of 7.6Mhz, or integer infractions of 7.6MHZ. However, it is common knowledge in the art that the reference frequency generator is set to either one of 7.6MHZ, 19khz, or 38khz are purely dependent on the frequency requirement of the particular transmitter availability of reference frequency generator. Furthermore, Applicant admission states that any type of reference frequency generator can be chosen to be 7.6 Mhz or an integral multiple or fractional frequencies of 7.6 Mhz (see specification, page 5, lines 5-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reference frequency generator is set to either one of 7.6MHZ, 19khz, or 38khz to the reference generator of *Koga et al.* in order to expand

Application/Control Number: 09/496,932

Art Unit: 2684

the communication system application to have better flexibility or more versatility so that various reference frequency generators can be used for the FM transmitter systems.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Koga et al.* (5,970,390) in view of *Koike* (6,246,864) and further in view of *Meador et al.* (5,953,640).

As per claim 2, *Koga et al.* in view of *Koike* disclosed externally controllable for IC modulation such as frequency division ratios of said program counter (see *Koga et al.*, col. 4/ln. 26-29, see *Koike*, col. 2/ln. 38-45) but not the modulation level of said stereo modulation circuit. However, the modulator having modulation level adjusted is well known in the art as shown by *Meador et al.* (col. 3/ln. 57-col. 4/ln. 22). Since, both *Koga et al.* and *Koike* teach the externally controller for the IC modulator, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply modulation level adjusted as disclosed in *Meador et al.* to the externally controllable for IC modulation to the combination of *Koga et al.* and *Koike* for optimum transmission output.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wittrock (4,823,390), Takeda et al. (5,406,631), Alstatt (5,771,441), Ono (JP408186445A), Suzuki (JP361161041A), and Saito et al. (JP410013370A) disclose FM stereo modulator system.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,932

Art Unit: 2684

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Hunter, can be reached at (703)308-6732.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

May 18, 2002

PABLO N.TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Aghyon